

2014 Bonn Seminar

Annotated Agenda*

Date and Venue:

Monday 9 June 2014 – [Altes Rathaus](#) (Old Town Hall), Am Markt, Bonn.

Timing

14:00 – 14:10	Welcome
14:10 – 15:00	Session I. Who should contribute (to financial support)?
15:00 – 15:50	Session II. To Annex or not to Annex? And if yes: how?
15:50 – 16:10	Coffee & Tea
16:10 – 17:00	Session III. To Mandate or not to Mandate?
17:00 – 17:50	Session IV. How to ensure a just outcome, both with respect to equity (intra-generational justice) and ambition (intergenerational justice)?
17:50 – 18:00	Concluding Remarks
18:00 – 19:00	Drinks Reception

I. Who should contribute (to financial support)?

Presenter: Benito Müller

Issues:

- The idea of a Southern Solidarity Fund (SSF)
- Why an [SSF_{\[M1\]}](#)?

Documentation:

- Benito Müller, [South-South Solidarity in Climate Finance: A GCF operated Southern Solidarity Fund](#), OCP/ecbi/OIES Concept Note, March 2014
- Charlotte Streck and David Rossati, Establishing a GCF operated Southern Solidarity Fund – Legal options and challenges, ecbi Legal Note, May 2014
- Xiaohua Zhang and Yue Qi, [Reflection of the CBDR in the 2015 Agreement](#), National Center for Climate Change and International Cooperation, Beijing, presented at [The Global Climate Policy Conference: How research can help unblock a climate deal](#), 7-8 May 2014.

* Available at ecbi Publications Catalogue (<http://www.eurocapacity.org/public/pubarchive-pres.shtml>)

II. To Annex or not to Annex? And if yes: how?

Presenter 1: Benito Müller

Issues:

- How could different expectations be reflected in the [Agreement](#)^[M2]?
- Should Annexes be used, and if yes, what type?

Documentation:

- Xiaohua Zhang and Yue Qi, [Reflection of the CBDR in the 2015 Agreement](#)^[M3], National Center for Climate Change and International Cooperation, Beijing, presented at [The Global Climate Policy Conference: How research can help unblock a climate deal](#), 7-8 May 2014.
- Benito Müller, [Avoiding Firewall Fundamentalism](#), OCP blog, May 2014.

Presenter 2: Christoph Schwarte

Issues:

- How could legally binding contributions be part of the agreement, in light of the conclusions drawn in the preceding sub-section?

Documentation:

- Wouter Geldhof, Tom Ruys, and Benito Müller, [QELROs in Paris: How to create room for legally binding \(mitigation\) obligations in the 2015 climate agreement](#), OCP/ecbi Legal Note, May 2014
- Benito Müller, Wouter Geldhof and Tom Ruys, [Unilateral Declarations: The Missing Legal Link in the Bali Action Plan](#), ecbi Policy Report May 2010.

III. To Mandate or not to Mandate?

Presenter: Bo Kjellen

Issues:

- Are there lessons to be drawn from the BM process that can be applied to the current situation?
- Is there a need for sequencing the negotiations, with or without a Mandate? If yes, how?

Documentation:

- Bo Kjellen, [Reflections on the Berlin Mandate: Process and substance](#), SEI/ecbi Discussion Note
- Benito Müller and Niklas Höhne, [A Staged Approach: The sequencing of mitigation commitments in the post-2020 ADP negotiations](#), It must be said! Re-published in [Outreach](#), both October 2013

IV. How to ensure a just outcome, both with respect to equity (intra-generational justice) and ambition (intergenerational justice)?

Presenter: Arild Underdal

Issues:

- How can we deal with the contribution information to achieve a just outcome?

Documentation:

Steffen Kallbekken, Håkon Sælen and Arild Underdal, [Equity and spectrum of mitigation commitments in the 2015 agreement](#), Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014